



For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	MR	
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	RILEY	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)	TONG AND FULNECK VALLEY ASS	
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	[REDACTED]	
Line 3	[REDACTED]	
Line 4	BRADFORD	
Post Code	BD4 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date: 26 th March 2014

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district. Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	VARIOUS	Paragraph	VARIOUS	Policy	
---------	---------	-----------	---------	--------	--

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes		No	NO
4 (2). Sound	Yes		No	
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes		No	

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

- 1. Flawed Consultation Process – Tong and Holme Wood Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP) and Local Development Framework Core Strategy Further Engagement Draft (LDF FED).** These two were supposed to be available for public consultation that was run in parallel, whereas the NDP process began and was completed in advance of the LDF FED, indicating that the NDP was driving the policy of the LDF FED rather than being driven by it.
- 2. Inaccurate and misleading designation of the ‘Tong and Holme Wood Neighbourhood Development Plan’.** The Localism Bill sets out a clear framework for the formation of NDP’s which the Tong and Holme Wood NDP fails to meet. No attempt was made by Bradford Council to reshape the Tong and Holme Wood Partnership Board so that it met the requirements of the Localism Bill in devising an NDP. The Draft Core Strategy refers frequently to the Tong and Holme Wood NDP in a way that assumes validity for it that should not be claimed.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The council should note the comments above and rectify them.
Wording changes would not make the plan compliant in my opinion, as it is the councils actions and deeds during the process that have rendered it so.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
YES	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

My Objections deserve the right to be heard.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:

Date:

26th March 2014